Class and Section: MIS4173 Date: 04/01/2022

## **Assignment: Sprint Review & Retrospective 3**

Team 4:

Kyle Carrai, Eric Copeland, Mailey Demianenko, Jala McDonald

SR&R 3 Documentation Grade: **90** SR&R 3 Presentation Grade: **90**

***Presentation graded with COB BCC Rubric by Richard O’Dor***

#### SR&R 3 – Overall

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Content  (Overall Project) | 4- Exceptional  3 -Good  2- Satisfactory  1- Poor  0 -Unacceptable | **Comments:**  You all did a good job on your sprint. Take my feedback and change what needs to be changed. If you need anything, let me know.  Dr. Kisling (04/01/2022) |

#### SR&R 3 – Documentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Overall Organization** |  |  |
| Title Page | **2** | 2 |
| Table of Contents | **2** | 1 |
| **SR&R 3 Required Documents** |  |  |
| **Project Documents New, Fixes, & Updates** |  |  |
| Previous Documentation Updates | **5** | 5 |
| Complete Data Flow Diagram Package | **20** | 14 |
| Navigation Diagram | **5** | 4 |
| Entity Relationship Diagrams | **10** | 9 |
| Program Plan showing logic and I/Os (IPO Chart with each program listed) | **10** | 10 |
| New Documentation | **5** | 5 |
| **Sprint Documents** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 3 Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 3 Burn Down Chart | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 3 Daily SCRUM Meeting Log | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 3 Team Evaluation Document | **7** | 7 |
| **Updated Project Plan** | **6** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 90 |

#### SR&R 3 – Presentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Presentation** |  |  |
| Overall Organization of Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Introduction to Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| DFD Package | **30** | 23 |
| Initial ERD & IPO Chart | **15** | 13 |
| Project Updates on Previous/New Information/Documentation | **10** | 9 |
| **Sprint Items** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 3 Backlog | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 3 Burn Down Chart | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 3 Potentially Shippable Product Increment | **10** | 10 |
| **Discussion with Class** |  |  |
| Facilitation | **5** | 5 |
| Input from peers | **5** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 90 |

**Comments:**

DOCUMENTATION

* Formatting issues:
  + You need to use a sans-serif font such as Arial, Helvetica, or Calibri
  + You will want to start each Section on a new page, but you can put items following one another in each section such as putting the Environment Analysis on the same page as Section 1 Executive Summary
  + Make the TOC more professional
  + Be sure that all headings are the same font size
  + Be sure all items are in the correct sections—I believe the UCD goes in Section 2—look at the sprint 6 grade sheet to see
* See all comments about DFD, ERD, IPO, NAV below in the PRESENTATION section
* Sprint items
  + Backlogs are better—you do not have to rearrange their order, but just add the system requirements at the bottom
  + SCRUM meeting log is good
  + Burndown chart is good.
  + Sprint 3 Team Evaluation is very good. Have you gotten the DB connected?
* Project plan needs to be updated to show all sprints on the timeline—if you need help doing that let me know
* If you need help with anything, please let me know. I am here to help you all be successful

PRESENTATION

* Your presentation was good
* DFD Feedback:
  + A DFD package always starts with the CD
  + Level 0 DFD
    - Remove the dataflow leaving Email
    - Data went to die in D1 and D2—they dataflows leaving each datastore
    - D4 is a miracle! It needs a dataflow leading to it
    - Misspelling of dataflow labels
    - Datastore names do not match ERD table names
  + Level 1 DFD Process 1.0
    - Once I get to 1.3 where do I go? There needs to be a dataflow leaving the process
    - D1 cannot send data directly to D2—it needs a process to do that
  + Level 1 DFD Process 2.0
    - Remove the dataflow leaving Email
    - How does D4 receive data? It needs a dataflow line leading to it
    - Why is 2.2 before 2.1? Why is 2.4 before 2.3?
    - No name dataflow leaving 2.1 and going to D3
* ERD looks okay, but keys are not identified—they need to be identified
* IPO chart is good
* Nav diagram needs to have the return arrows to be dashed, not solid
* Sprint items and PSPI were fine